708 597 2537 Filed 08/17/2008 Page 1 of 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Laura L. Vitale)
) CASE NO.
Plaintiff)
) COMPLAINT
VS.) JURY TRIAL DEMAND
Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLP, and John And Jane Does 1 – 10) FILED: AUGUST 17, 2008
) 08CV4674
	JUDGE BUCKLO
Defendants) MAGISTRATE JUDGE BROWN
	JH

COMPLAINT

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT AND INTRODUCTION

1. This petition is an action for statutory and actual damages brought by an individual consumer Laura L. Vitale ("Plaintiff") against Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLP, and John and Jane Does 1 – 10 ("Defendants") for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"); the Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. ("FCRA"); to obtain injunctive relief and for declaratory relief. This petition is also an action for statutory and actual damages under the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505 et seq. and pursuant to the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 815 ILCS 510 et seq. ("UDTPA") which are brought under the Court's pendent and supplemental jurisdiction. Plaintiff brings this action against the above named Defendants both jointly and severally based on their violations of said Acts.

JURISDICTION / VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337(a). Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201.1 and 2202. The doctrine of pendent and supplemental jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District as Defendants transact business here and the communications as well as the conduct of Defendants upon which this complaint is based occurred here.

P.02

PARTIES

- 4. The Plaintiff, Laura L. Vitale, is a natural person, and was at all times relevant hereto a resident and citizen of the State of Illinois. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and a person as that term is defined under 815 ILCS 505 § 1(c).
- 5. Defendant Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLP is a limited liability partnership engaged as "debt collectors" as defined by and within the meaning of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and as a corporation defined under 815 ILCS 510 § 1(5), engaged in the business of collecting debts in this State where Defendants regularly collect or attempt to collect debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and whose principal purpose is the collection of debts using the mails and telephone. This Defendant may be served at its principal place of business located at 125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 400, Chicago, Illinois 60606-4440.
- 6. Defendants, John and Jane Does 1 - 10 are all natural persons, corporations, parties, or enterprises employed by Defendants as debt collectors as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and are involved in the instant matter. Said Defendants are currently unknown to Plaintiff. Said Defendants and entities will be joined as necessary parties upon further discovery of their true nature and liability once these facts are known and supported by competent evidence.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Sometime prior to 2007, Plaintiff incurred an alleged financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5), namely, a Bank of America credit card in the approximate amount of \$32,034.29, which was allegedly used by Plaintiff to make personal purchases of food, clothing, and shelter-related items.
- 8. Sometime thereafter, the alleged debt was consigned, placed or otherwise transferred to Defendants for collection from Plaintiff.
- 9. On or about December 9, 2006, Plaintiff sent a billing error notice as that term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1666(a) to the original creditor, disputing the alleged debt requesting clarification of transaction details and omitted material disclosures that affect the finance charge, penalty rate, and annual percentage rate on multiple periodic statements. See Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated as if fully stated herein.
- 10. The original creditor, Bank of America, never provided verification or validation of the

P.03

- alleged debt or responded in any way to Plaintiff's billing error notice and dispute.
- On or about August 22, 2007, Defendant Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLP 11. (hereinafter "BHLM"), caused to be sent to Plaintiff, an initial communication in an attempt to collect this alleged debt. See Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated as if fully stated herein.
- 12. In response to this collection letter, on or about September 10, 2007, Plaintiff sent a written notice to BHLM disputing the alleged debt and demanding verification and validation of it under 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., (see Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated as if fully stated herein).
- Despite the fact that Plaintiff had disputed the debt, on or about September 25, 2007, a 13. representative from Defendant BHLM who refused to give her name to Plaintiff, calling from phone number 312-704-9440, called Plaintiff at her home concerning collection of the alleged debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692c(c) and 1692d(6), amongst others.
- The caller insulted Plaintiff and demanded Plaintiff's office telephone number in 14. violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d and 1692d(2) and threatened to take money from Plaintiff's check in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e4, 1692e5, 1692e10 and 1692f.
- 15. Defendants BHLM failed to provide verification and validation of the alleged debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692, et seq. and 1692g (b).
- 16. Despite having disputed this debt in writing to the original creditor and Defendants BHLM previously, and the original creditor and Defendants BHLM failing to provide verification and validation of the debt, Defendants BHLM subsequently filed a civil complaint in the name of an alleged creditor on or about January 14, 2008, seeking full payment in the amount of \$37,685.79. See Exhibit D attached hereto and incorporated as if fully stated herein.
- 17. Defendants BHLM have continued to pursue collection activity on the alleged debt and have initiated a judicial proceeding wherein they have willfully and materially failed to disclose that they are attempting to collect an amount that was disputed to their alleged creditor client in violation of the Fair Credit Billing Act. In addition Defendants have also failed to report to any third party credit reporting agency ("CRA") that the alleged debt is disputed in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8).
- Defendants BHLM have a duty to comply with these restrictions on reporting of disputed 18. amounts to the state court and any CRA, and their failure to do so is contrary to federal

- and state credit statutes and makes them liable under 815 ILCS 505 § 2E.
- Defendants BHLM knew or should have known that their alleged client is unable to 19. achieve standing, unable to produce competent evidence to support a claim, unable to produce a written agreement with a bona fide signature of the Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendants' actions, omissions, misrepresentations, violations and inability to produce material disclosures and competent evidence of the alleged debt as alleged herein have constituted harassment which has resulted in the negligent and intentional infliction of mental and emotional distress upon the Plaintiff, proximately causing Plaintiff to suffer severe mental distress, mental and physical pain, embarrassment, humiliation which Plaintiff will in the future continue to suffer the same.

SUMMARY

- 21. All of the above-described collection communications and actions made to and about Plaintiff by Defendant BHLM and other employees and/or agents employed by the Defendant were made in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA. including but not limited to all of the above-mentioned provisions and 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692c(c), 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5), 1692e(8), 1692e(10), 1692f, 1692f(1), and 1692g(b), amongst others.
- 22. The above-detailed conduct of the Defendant in an effort to collect this debt was an invasion of Plaintiff's privacy by an intrusion upon her seclusion and by violating Plaintiff's right to be let alone and has resulted in actual damages to Plaintiff.
- 23. The series of abusive collection efforts by Defendant caused Plaintiff to become upset, embarrassed and humiliated and to suffer severe mental distress and mental and physical pain.
- 24. Defendant's repeated attempts to collect this debt from Plaintiff were an invasion of Plaintiff's state law right of privacy and her right to be left alone.
- 25. Defendant's illegal, abusive collection communications as more fully described above were the direct and proximate cause of severe emotional distress suffered by the Plaintiff.
- 26. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as a result of these illegal collection communications and activities from this Defendant in the form of anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration, upset, humiliation, and embarrassment, amongst other negative emotions, as well as suffering from unjustified and abusive invasions of her personal privacy.

P.05

27. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby respectfully demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable. U.S. Constitution. Amendment 7, Fed.R.Civ.P. 38.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

15 .S.C. §1692, et sea.

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 29. The foregoing acts and omissions of each and every Defendant and their agents constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA including, but not limited to, each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et sea, with respect to Plaintiff.
- 30. Defendants attempted to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff and the obligation required Plaintiff to pay money arising out of transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 31. The foregoing acts and omissions were undertaken by the Defendants willfully, persistently, intentionally, knowingly, and discriminately as part of their routine debt collection business and/or in gross or reckless disregard of the rights of the Plaintiff.
- 32. As a result of each and every Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000 per violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3), from each and every Defendant herein.

COUNT II

INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 34. Congress explicitly recognized a consumer's inherent right to privacy in collection matters in passing the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, when it stated as part of its findings:

Abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of

Page 6 of 12

individual privacy.

15 U.S.C. §1692(a) (Emphasis added.)

- 35. Defendant and/or their agents intentionally and/or negligently interfered, physically or otherwise, with the solitude, seclusion and/or private concerns or affairs of Plaintiff, namely, by repeatedly and unlawfully attempting to collect a debt and thereby invaded Plaintiff's privacy and right to be left alone.
- 36. Defendants and their agents intentionally and/or negligently caused emotional harm to Plaintiff by engaging in highly offensive conduct in the course of collecting this debt, thereby invading and intruding upon Plaintiff's right to privacy.
- 37. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy in Plaintiff's solitude, seclusion, and private concerns or affairs.
- 38. The conduct of this Defendant and its agents, in engaging in the above-described illegal collection conduct against Plaintiff, resulted in multiple intrusions and invasions of privacy against Plaintiff by this Defendant which occurred in a way that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person in Plaintiff's position.
- 39. As a result of such intrusions and invasions of privacy, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from each and every Defendant.

COUNT III

VIOLATIONS OF THE ILLINOIS CONSUMER FRAUD AND DECEPTIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT: 815 ILCS 505 et seq.

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 41. The Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505 et seq. provides at § 2 that it is unlawful for any person to use any unfair method of competition or any unfair or deceptive act or practice in the conduct of trade or commerce within this state.
- 42. Debt collection is a service within the scope of "trade and commerce" as that term is defined under 815 ILCS 505 § 1(f) and at issue in this case, generally this service affects commerce and trade in this state.
- 43. The conduct described in the above paragraphs is specifically and generally unfair, deceptive, oppressive, unconscionable, and contrary to public policy and generally recognized standards applicable to responsible and lawful consumer credit protection practices.

44. Defendants unfair methods and deceit make them liable to Plaintiff under 815 ILCS 505 § 2F.

COUNT IV

VIOLATIONS OF THE ILLINOIS UNIFORM DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT: 815 ILCS 510 et seq.

- 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 46. The conduct described in paragraphs 1- 26 above has caused actual confusion or actual misunderstanding as to the source, sponsorship, approval, or certification of services within the scope of the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 815 ILCS 510 et seq.
- 47. Said conduct is generally and specifically within the meaning of the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 815 ILCS 510 *et seq.* and in the course of business that is prohibited, unfair, and deceptive.
- 48. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants were undertaken by it willfully, persistently, intentionally, and knowingly as part of their routine debt collection business, and Plaintiff relied upon such representations as being lawful, yet such conduct is prohibited.
- 49. The conduct described in paragraphs 1 26 has tremendous potential to be repeated where other consumers similarly situated will be treated with the same unscrupulous, unethical, unfair and deceptive acts and practices.
- 50. Defendants' unfair and deceptive acts have proximately caused emotional and actual damage and Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for such injury.

DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 52. There exists a dispute over whether Defendants have violated the FDCPA, the UDTPA and the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act.
- 53. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, a declaratory judgment and a determination that Defendants violated the FDCPA, the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505 *et seq.*, and the UDTPA, 815 ILCS 510 *et seq.*, and Plaintiff is similarly entitled to an order enjoining said acts.
- 54. As a result of Defendants' actions, omissions, and violations, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages, actual damages, reasonable attorney's fees, and all costs for time lost

P.08

Case 1:08-cv-04674 Document 1 Filed 08/17/2008

at work and litigating this matter.

55. Defendants' actions, omissions, and violations as alleged herein constituted the negligent and intentional infliction of mental and emotional distress upon the Plaintiff, proximately causing Plaintiff to suffer great mental distress, mental and physical pain, embarrassment. humiliation, and will in the future continue to suffer the same.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq.

- For an award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1) against each and every Defendant and for Plaintiff;
- For an award of statutory damages of \$1,000 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against each and every Defendant and for the Plaintiff;
- For an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3) against each and every Defendant and for Plaintiff;
- For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT II

INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

- For an award of actual damages from each and every Defendant for the emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff; and
- For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT III

VIOLATIONS OF THE ILLINOIS CONSUMER FRAUD AND DECEPTIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT: 815 ILCS 505 et sea.

- Injunctive and corresponding declaratory relief establishing the foregoing conduct of Defendants to be unlawful, enjoining Defendants from continuing to engage in said conduct, and granting such additional equitable relief as may be appropriate.
- For an award of actual damages from each and every Defendant and for the Plaintiff for each Defendant's violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business

M/1009/016

Practices Act in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff;

- For an award of punitive damages from each and every Defendant and for the Plaintiff
 for each Defendant's violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business
 Practices Act in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff;
- For an award of statutory damages against each and every Defendant and for the Plaintiff;
- Award Plaintiff compensatory damages for mental and emotional distress, humiliation and embarrassment to be determined at trial;
- Award Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this litigation;
- For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT IV

VIOLATIONS OF THE ILLINOIS UNIFORM DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT: 815 ILCS 510 et seq.

- Injunctive and corresponding declaratory relief establishing the foregoing conduct of Defendants to be unlawful, enjoining Defendants from continuing to engage in said conduct, and granting such additional equitable relief as may be appropriate.
- For an award of actual damages from each and every Defendant and for the Plaintiff for each Defendant's violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff;
- For an award of punitive damages from each and every Defendant and for the Plaintiff
 for each Defendant's violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business
 Practices Act in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff;
- For an award of statutory damages against each and every Defendant and for the Plaintiff;
- Award Plaintiff compensatory damages for mental and emotional distress, humiliation and embarrassment to be determined at trial;
- Award Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this litigation;
- For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated:

August 15, 2008

Respectfully submitted.

Laura L. Vitale

VERIFICATION

I, Laura L. Vitale hereby certify that the facts contained in the foregoing Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

> Vitale 1511 Gedem Drive Columbia, Illinois 62236

Robert K. Lock, Jr., Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff 7144 N. Harlem, #323 Chicago, Illinois 877-831-5588 206-330-6036 Fax

Richard J. Wasik, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff 1304 W. Washington, 1st Floor Chicago, Illinois 60607 312-676-1885 312-564-4015 Fax

Document 1 Filed 08/17/2008

12011/016

P.11

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the foregoing COMPLAINT; JURY TRIAL DEMAND and supporting documents and instruments were served to the clerk of the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DIVISION OF ILLINOIS and upon each of the parties or, when represented, upon their attorney of record, by fax, by personal delivery, or by depositing such copy enclosed in a postpaid envelope in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service, CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT addressed as follows:

Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLP 125 South Wacker Drive, Suite 400 Chicago, Illinois 60606-4440

I further affirm that the foregoing statements made by me are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if any are willfully false, I am subject to penalty.

> 1511 Gedern Drive Columbia, Illinois 62236

M 012/016

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION BY PLAINTIFF

STATE OF ILI	LINOIS)
COUNTY OF	Morroe) S S)

Plaintiff Laura L. Vitale, having first been duly sworn and upon oath, deposes and states as follows:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.
- 2. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.
- 3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- 4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s) named in the Complaint.
- 5. I have filed this civil Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it.
- 6. Each and every Exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original.
- 7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified, or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations.

Subscribed to and sworn to before me

08CV4674 JUDGE BUCKLO MAGISTRATE JUDGE BROWN JH

P.01

Exhibit A

Bank of America P.O. Box 15026 Wilmington, DE 19850-5026

December 9, 2006

RE NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR VALIDATION AND ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PERFORMANCE – Laura Vitale, ACCOUNT # 4313-0700-7301-8423

Dear Sir/Madame:

I recently received your recent unsigned communication. Please regard this letter as a formal written Notice and Demand to BANK OF AMERICA for validation and adequate assurance of performance with respect to the above listed account.

In preparation for this Notice and Demand, I have conducted a full and complete investigation into this matter, and I am of the opinion that BANK OF AMERICA may be in breach of the terms and conditions of the Credit Card Agreement, by its failure to provide either adequate and valuable consideration, or full disclosure of the material terms and conditions of the alleged original agreement, including the nature and extent of any finance charges assessed on the above account. In addition, I have reason to believe that your company has failed to properly credit me for all revenues received by you related to this account. In the event that the application or other evidence of this account was monetized, securitized and/or sold, please provide me with certified copies of all underlying documentation regarding said transactions.

This Notice and Demand should not be perceived as a refusal to pay any valid debt. However, I have questions regarding the validity of the debt you are alleging in the attached billing statement, and in order to determine the validity of your presentment, and continue payment on the above-listed account, I will require certain information to confirm your claim in this matter. To that end, please forward the attached affidavit to the appropriate person in your organization for review and execution. Upon receipt of the signed, sworm affidavit, I will arrange for payments to resume on the above noted account. In the event that you are unable or unwilling to provide me adequate assurance of performance on this account, please send me a billing statement or other communication indicating a zero balance due on the account.

Please restrict all communications with me regarding this matter to writing, and understand that all communications, acts or omissions may be used in litigation, including the filing of grievances and the initiation of investigations at the Federal Trade Commission and other government bodies regarding your non-compliance with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act of 2003, and other state and federal consumer protection laws. Your failure to respond to this Notice and Demand within thirty (30) days will be construed as a waiver of any and all claims regarding the above-listed account, and will act as a confirmation that no further action will be taken on your part with respect to the subject account. Please also take notice that during the pendency of this dispute, no further payments will be made on the account, and the account cannot be sold, assigned, forwarded or otherwise transferred for purposes of the collection of a debt.

Respectfully,

Laura Vitale

Signature of Notary

AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned Affiant, being duly sworn on oath, and under penalties of perjury, deposes, states and certifies that he/she is authorized to collect the alleged BANK OF AMERICA account # 4313-0700-7301-8423 (hereinafter "alleged account"), and has the requisite knowledge of the facts related to the alleged BANK OF AMERICA promissory note/credit card agreement.

I hereby give assurance that the original creditor and financial institution involved with the alleged extension of credit or loan to the alleged customer Laura Vitale (hereinafter "alleged customer"), followed Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"), as required by law and as indicated by 'BANK OF AMERICA' Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") audit reports, as well as regulatory compliance reports under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

I give further assurance that the original lender/financial institution involved with the alleged loan or funding of charges in the amount of the Promissory Note/Credit Card Agreement did not accept, receive or deposit any money, money equivalent, note, credit or capital from the alleged customer to fund a note, check or similar instrument that was used to originate, finance or fund the charges on the alleged credit card account or Promissory Note.

I give further assurance that all material facts regarding the alleged account have been disclosed in the original alleged Credit Card Agreement or Promissory Note.

I give further assurance that the original Credit Card Agreement or Promissory Note was not altered or forged in any way.

I give further assurance that proper identification processes were followed with respect to the alleged account according to the requirements of the U.S.A. Patriot Act, and I am able to furnish certified copies of all identification documentation from the alleged customer with respect to the alleged account.

I have personal knowledge of the facts and information under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of _ correct and complete and if any statements are willfully	that this affidavit is toug
	Signature of Affiant
STATE OF	
Subscribed and swom to before me a notary	public this of 2006.

08CV4674 JUDGE BUCKLO MAGISTRATE JUDGE BROWN JH

P.01

Exhibit B

Law Offices Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLC 125 South Wacker Dr. * Suite 400 * Chicago, Illinois 60606-4440 Phone 312/704-9440 * Outside Illinois 800/357-9609

1995143

Ira F. Leibsker * Leon W. Moore * Kenneth R. Wake * ^ 0

Frank A. Janello * David M. Greenbaum ^ Marlatna C. Strunk • Gregory R. Dye . + Brian D. Maynard * Kliment P. Mitreski * o Mark J. Johnson • Jacquelyn T. Vengal *

Jason M. Shuhnan •

Amber M. Stine '

Joshua Craig o Rai Jutla * Anna David * Ron Z. Opher . -

LAURA L VITALE 1511 GEDERN DR

Fax 312/704-9430

08-22-07

COLUMBIA IL 62236

Reference #: 1995143

Creditor: FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. FKA MBNA AMERICA BANK, N.A.

Original Creditor:

Account #: 4313070073018423 Account Type: VISA CARD Amount Due: \$ 37685.79 Debtor: LAURA L VITALE

Licensed in: IL *, IN o MI ^, OH + AZ'PA. NJ -

Please be advised that this firm represents the above named creditor. We have provided above the original creditor name if applicable. Your account has been referred to this office for collection. As of this date, you owe the Amount Due shown above. Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your payment, in which case we will inform you before depositing the check for collection. For further information, write this office or call us at 866-269-9861.

Demand is hereby made upon you for payment of the Amount Due. If you cannot make remittance of the total Amount Due, but wish to make partial payment and discuss payment terms, call this office with your proposal. You are hereby advised that this is an attempt to collect a debt, and that this firm is acting pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practice Act, 15 U.S.C.A., Sec. 1692 et seq.; any information obtained will be used for this purpose.

If you do not dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, within 30 days of receipt of this letter, we will assume it is valid. If you do dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, please notify us in writing, within 30 days of receipt of this letter and we will mail verification of the debt, or a copy of a judgment, if applicable, to you. We will also provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current Creditor, if you request the same from us, in writing, within 30 days of receipt of this letter.

Thank You,

Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore LLC

PLEASE INCLUDE YOUR REFERENCE # ON YOUR PAYMENT

BLD8A(08/01)ADI

08CV4674 JUDGE BUCKLO MAGISTRATE JUDGE BROWN JH P.01

Exhibit C

Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore, L.L.P. 125 South Wacker Dr. Suite 400 Chicago, Illinois 60606-4440

Date September 10, 2007

NOTICE OF DISPUTE

Re: Inquiry: Bank of America FIA Card Services account number

4313070073018423

Reference Number: 1995143

Dear Sir/Madame:

I am in receipt of your letter demanding payment on the above referenced account.

I dispute this debt and refuse to pay it.

Further, I never entered into an agreement to arbitrate any disputes on this account.

Respectfully,

Laura L. Vitale

08CV4674 JUDGE BUCKLO MAGISTRATE JUDGE BROWN JH

P.01

Exhibit D

Filed 08/17/2008 Page 2 of 4

1995143

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT MONROE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. FKA MBNA AMERICA BANK, N.A. Petitioner

JAN 1 4 2008

VS.

LAURA L VITALE

Case No.:

08-LM-3

Respondents(s)

APPLICATION TO CONFIRM ARBITRATION AWARD

NOW COMES the Petitioner, FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. FKA MBNA AMERICA BANK, N.A., by and through its attorneys, Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore, LLC, and requests this court to confirm an arbitration award in its favor and against the Respondent(s), LAURA L VITALE

In support thereof, Petitioner states:

- 1. This application is filed pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 USC Sec. 9.
- 2. The Respondent(s) opened a charge account with Petitioner. Pursuant to the terms of the charge account agreement, the parties agreed to arbitrate any claim or disputes. A copy of the Charge Agreement is attached as Exhibit 1.
- The Petitioner elected arbitration when the Respondent(s) failed to pay as required by the charge account agreement. Attached hereto is a certified copy of the Arbitrator's Award entered by the National Arbitration Forum on JUNE 29, 2007. See Exhibit 2.
- 4. The Arbitrator's Award is final, no application to vacate of modify the award having been filed pursuant to 9 USC Sec. 10 or 11.
- 5. Allowing for all just claims and set-offs, the balance due on the award is \$37685.79.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner, FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. FKA MBNA AMERICA BANK, N.A., prays that this court confirm the Arbitrator's Award in favor of the Petitioner and against the Respondent(s) in the amount of \$37685.79 plus costs.

Respectfully submitted,

FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. FKA MBNA AMERICA BANK, N.A.

By: One of its attorneys

THIS COMMUNICATION IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.

Blatt, Hasenmiller, Leibsker & Moore, LLC Attorneys for Petitioner 211 Landmark Drive Suite E5 Normal, Illinois 61761 (309) 268-4100



FIA Card Services, N.A., fka MBNA America Bank, N.A. c/o Wolpoff & Abramson, L.L.P.
Attorneys in the Practice of Debt Collection
702 King Farm Bivd, Two Irvington Centre
Rockville, MD 20850-5775

CLAIMANT(s),

AWARD

RE:

FIA Card Services, N.A., fka MBNA America Bank, N.A. v Laura L Vitale

File Number: FA0702000919104

Claimant Account Number: 4313070073018423

Laura L Vitale 1511 Gedern Dr Columbia, IL 62236

RESPONDENT(s).

The undersigned Arbitrator in this case FINDS and CONCLUDES:

Case Summary

- The Claimant has filed a Claim with the National Arbitration Forum.
- 2. After Proof of Service of the Claim was filed with the Forum, the Forum mailed to Respondent a Second Notice of Arbitration.
- 3. An arbitration hearing notice was duly delivered to the Parties as required by the Forum Rules.
- 4. The Parties have had the opportunity to present all evidence and information to the Arbitrator.
- The Arbitrator has reviewed all evidence submitted in this case.

Decision

- The Arbitrator knows of no conflict of interests that exist.
- 2. This matter involves interstate commerce and the Federal Arbitration Act governs this arbitration.
- 3. The Claim was properly served on the Respondent by Claimant in accordance with Rule 6, including a Notice of Arbitration.
- 4. On or before 02/15/2007 the Parties entered into a written agreement to arbitrate their dispute.
- No Party has asserted that this Arbitration Agreement is invalid or unenforceable.
- 6. The Parties' Arbitration Agreement is valid and enforceable and governs all the issues in dispute.
- 7. This matter is arbitrable under the terms of the Parties' Arbitration Agreement and the law.
- 8. This matter has proceeded in accord with the applicable Forum Code of Procedure Rules.
- 9. The evidence submitted supports the issuance of this Award.
- 10. The applicable substantive law supports the issuance of the Award.

Therefore, the Arbitrator ISSUES:

An Award in favor of the Claimant, for a total amount of \$37,685.79.

Entered and Affirmed in the State of Illinois

Leo J. Jordan, Esq. Arbitrator

2110348101

Date: 06/29/2007

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This Award was duly entered and the Forum hereby certifies that pursuant to the Parties' Arbitration Afrocament, a copy of this Award was sent by first class mail postage prepaid to the Parties at the above referenced addresses, or their Representatives, on this date.

lonombie Haroid Hailing

Director

EX#D